

NOT FOR CITATION

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

RICHARD DALE HAGEDORN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MARTA DIAZ, et al.

Defendants.

Case Number C 06-00796 JF

ORDER¹ RE MOTIONS FILED ON
MAY 2 AND 24, 2006

On February 6, 2006 Plaintiffs Richard Dale Hagedorn and Linda Lee Hagedorn filed a complaint against Defendants Judge Marta Diaz, San Mateo County, Human Services Agency / Children & Family Services, Stanford University Hospital & Clinics, and San Mateo County Board of Supervisors. On May 2, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a “Motion for a Default Disqualification Judgment” against Defendant Judge Marta Diaz, and five motions for “Default Judgment and Claim” against various Defendants. On May 24, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a document entitled “Motions to Dismiss” against all Defendants.

The docket shows that Plaintiffs have not served Defendants with a summons and copy of

¹ This disposition is not designated for publication and may not be cited.

1 the complaint, as is required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c). If Plaintiffs do not serve
2 Defendants within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, the Court may dismiss this action.
3 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Plaintiffs also have not served any of the motions or other documents filed
4 with the Court on any of the Defendants, as is required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.
5 Moreover, and more significantly, the Court has neither the jurisdiction nor the statutory
6 authority to grant much, if not all, of the relief requested by Plaintiffs. Accordingly, the Court
7 will DENY the motions filed on May 2 and 24, 2006, without prejudice.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 DATED: May 26, 2006

11
12
13 
14 JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge

1 This Order has been served upon the following persons:

2 Linda Lee Hagedorn & Richard Dale Hagedorn
3 650 Castro Street #120-467
4 Mountain View, CA 94041
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28